IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KEITH A. THOMPSON,) No. C 11-3793 JSW (PR)
Petitioner,	ORDER OF TRANSFER
vs.	
K. DICKINSON, Warden,	
Respondent.	
)

Petitioner is a state prisoner currently incarcerated at the California Medical Facility in Vacaville. He has filed a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 arguing that the California Board of Parole Hearings has violated his constitutional rights by denying him parole. He is in custody based upon a conviction obtained in Monterey County Superior Court in 1991. Monterey County is located within the venue of this court, but Vacaville, where Petitioner is incarcerated, is located within the venue of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 84.

A petition for a writ of habeas corpus made by a person in custody under the judgment and sentence of a state court of a state which contains two or more federal judicial districts may be filed in either the district of confinement or the district of conviction. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). Each of such districts shall have concurrent jurisdiction to entertain the petition; however, the district court for the district where the petition is filed may transfer the petition to the other district in the furtherance of justice. *See id.* However, if the petition is directed to the manner in which a sentence is being executed, e.g., if it involves parole or time credits claims, the district of confinement is

the preferable forum. See Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 1 | (9th Cir. 1989). Petitioner challenges the denial of parole in this case, and thus it is directed to the manner in which his sentence is being executed, not to the validity of his conviction. Consequently, the preferable venue for this case is the district of confinement, in this case the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989). Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED in the interest of justice, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a), that this action be TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. The Clerk of the Court shall transfer this matter forthwith. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: August 30, 2011 United States District Judge

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE	
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4		
5	AND THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF	
6	KEITH A. THOMPSON, Case Number: CV11-03793 JSW	
7	Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
8	V.	
9	K. DICKINSON et al,	
10	Defendant.	
11		
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.	
13	That on August 30, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said	
14	copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery	
15	receptacle located in the Clerk's office.	
16		
17	Keith A. Thompson	
18	California Medical Facility E98106	
19	P.O. Box 2000 Vacaville, CA 95696	
20	Dated: August 30, 2011 Change Ottoline	
21	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk	
22		
23		
24		
2526		
20 27		
28		
ں_		